arXiv:math-ph/0007036v2 7 Feb 2001

February 7, 2001

Anyons and the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland model

Edwin Langmann

Theoretical Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, S-10044 Sweden

Abstract

We obtain a second quantization of the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland (eCS) model by constructing a quantum field theory model of anyons on a circle and at a finite temperature. This yields a remarkable identity involving anyon correlation functions and providing an algorithm for solving of the eCS model. The eigenfunctions obtained define an elliptic generalization of the Jack polynomials.

There is an interesting class of integrable many body systems in one dimensions which is known as Calogero-Moser-Sutherland systems [1, 2, 3, 4]. These systems describe an arbitrary number of identical particles interacting with a two-body potential which, in the general case, is a Weierstrass elliptic function. Apart from their purely mathematical significance, these systems are also of considerable physical interest as they are relevant to remarkably many different topics including fractional statistics and anyons, quantum chaos, QCD, and two dimensional quantum gravity (reviews of the first two resp. three latter topics are, e.g., [5] resp. [6]).

In the important limiting cases where the elliptic two-body potential become rational and trigonometric, algorithms to solve the quantum version of these systems were discovered by Calogero [1] and Sutherland [2], and the mathematical properties of the solutions thus obtained have been studied extensively (see e.g. [7, 8]). In this letter we give a concise description of various novel results on the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland (eCS) model, culminating in an algorithm which provides a generalization of the above-mentioned solution of Sutherland (detailed proofs with technical details will be published elsewhere [9, 10]). In particular, we find a second quantization of the eCS model as quantum field theory model of anyons on a circle and at finite

temperature, generalizing recent results on the Sutherland model and zero temperature anyons [11, 12]. We then use this second quantization to prove a remarkable identity [Eq. (19) below, which is a particular regularization of the identity in Eqs. (34)–(35)] which finally yields an algorithm to construct eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the eCS model. In the trigonometric limit our algorithm simplifies to one which is equivalent to Sutherland's (i.e., it is different but equally simple and yields the same solution) [10]. To our knowledge, solutions of the eigenvalue equation of the eCS Hamiltonian were previously known only for integer values of the parameter λ defined in Eqs. (1) and (13) below [13, 14, 15], whereas we do not impose such a restriction.

It is worth noting that in the two particle case, the eigenvalue equation of the eCS is also known as *Lamé's equation* which was studied extensively at the end of the 19^{th} century (see [13]) and more recently in [14]. Results on the N-particle generalization of this where obtained in [15] using quantum field theory techniques which, however, are different from ours. Our approach was inspired by the relation of the Sutherland model and the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) [16]: it is known that the edge excitation in FQHE states can be described by a 1D quantum field theory model of composite fermions [17] which is identical with the anyon model used to construct the second quantization of the Sutherland model [11, 12] at odd-integer values of the parameter λ where the anyons become (composite) fermions [see Eq. (6) below]. The second quantization mentioned above [11, 12] therefore provides a direct link between the Sutherland model and the FQHE. In this letter we find that the generalization of the former to the elliptic case corresponds to a natural generalization of the latter: going from zero- to finite temperature.

We now fix our notation. The eCS Hamiltonian is defined by

$$H_N = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \gamma \sum_{j<k} V(x_j - x_k) \tag{1}$$

where $-\pi \leq x_j \leq \pi$ are coordinates on a circle, $\gamma > -1/2$ is the coupling constant, $j, k = 1, \ldots, N$, and the interaction potential is

$$V(r) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \log \vartheta_1(\tfrac{1}{2}r) \tag{2}$$

with the Jacobi theta function $\vartheta_1(r) = const.\ \sin(r) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}[1 - 2q^{2n}\cos(2r) + q^4]$ [18]. Note that $V(r)$ is equal, up to an additive constant, to the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp(r)$ with periods 2π and $\mathrm{i}\beta$ where $q = \exp(-\beta/2)$

[18]. The particle number N is arbitrary and $\beta > 0$. The Sutherland model corresponds to the limiting case $q = 0$ where $V(r) = (1/4)\sin^{-2}(r/2)$. Of course, the differential operator in Eq. (1) does not specify a unique quantum mechanical Hamiltonian (i.e., it has many different self-adjoint extensions), but our approach will automatically specify a unique self-adjoint operator which, for $q = 0$, coincides (essentially) with the one diagonalized by Sutherland [10]. (This self-adjoint extension is particularly 'nice' and, for $\gamma > 0$, essentially the Friedrich's extension [19].)

We now describe the construction of anyons using 1D chiral bosons [17] which can be made mathematically precise using the representation theory of the loop group $Map(S^1; \mathrm{U}(1))$ [12]. We recall that formally, an anyon field $\phi(x)$, parametrized by a coordinate $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$ on the circle, is characterized by the exchange relations $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \mathrm{e}^{\pm \mathrm{i}\pi\lambda}\phi(y)\phi(x)$ where $\lambda > 0$ is the so-called statistics parameter. To construct such anyons we start with boson operators $\hat{\rho}(n)$, n integers, together with an invertible operator R and obeying the relations

$$[\hat{\rho}(m), \hat{\rho}(n)] = m\delta_{m,-n}, \quad [\hat{\rho}(n), R] = \delta_{n,0}R\,. \tag{3}$$

It is natural to interpret $Q = \hat{\rho}(0)$ as charge operator and R as charge rising operator. The standard representation of this algebra is an irreducible highest weight representation on a fermion Fock space (see e.g. [12]). The representation we use is different and will be specified further below. In whatever representation, one can define operators

$$K_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}n}\hat{\rho}(n)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nx}\,\mathrm{e}^{-|n|\varepsilon}\,, \tag{4}$$

and then the anyons field can be defined as

$$\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda Qx/2}\,R\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda Qx/2}\,{}^{\times}_{\times}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\lambda}K_\varepsilon(x)}\,{}^{\times}_{\times} \tag{5}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a regularization parameter and ${}^{\times}_{\times}\cdot{}^{\times}_{\times}$ means normal ordering which depends on the representation and will be specified below; for now it is enough to know that ${}^{\times}_{\times}\cdot{}^{\times}_{\times}$ amounts to multiplication with some ε-dependent constant. We stress that introducing this parameter ε is a convenient technical tool for us which takes care of all the (ultraviolet) divergences which otherwise would appear: for $\varepsilon > 0$ the quantum field $\phi_\varepsilon(x)$ are well-defined operators which can be multiplied without difficulty. Eventually we are interested in the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ which is singular (since the $\phi(x) = \phi_0(x)$ are

operator valued distributions), but we will be able to take this limit at a latter point without difficulty. Using Eq. (3) and the Hausdorff formula (i.e., $\mathrm{e}^a\,\mathrm{e}^b = \mathrm{e}^{-[a,b]}\,\mathrm{e}^b\,\mathrm{e}^a$ for operators a and b such that $[a,b]$ commutes with a and b), we obtain exchange relations

$$\phi_\varepsilon(x)\phi_{\varepsilon'}(y) = \mathrm{e}^{-i\pi\lambda\mathrm{sgn}_{\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}(x-y)}\phi_{\varepsilon'}(y)\phi_\varepsilon(x) \tag{6}$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}_\varepsilon(x) = (1/\pi)[x + \sum_{n\neq 0}\mathrm{e}^{inx-|n|\varepsilon}/(\mathrm{i}n)]$ is a regularized sign function on the circle. This shows that the $\phi_\varepsilon(x)$ is indeed a regularized anyon field operator with statistics parameter λ.

We now specify the representation we are using and construct our representation space $\mathcal{F}$. For that we introduce two commuting copies of the algebra in Eq. (3), i.e., $[\hat\rho_A(m),\hat\rho_B(n)] = m\delta_{m,-n}\delta_{A,B}$ for $A,B = 1,2$, and similarly for $R_{1,2}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is the Hilbert space generated by these operators from a highest weight vector (vacuum) Ω such that $\hat\rho_A(n)\Omega = 0$ and $\hat\rho_A(n)^* = \hat\rho_A(-n)$ for all $n \geq 0$ and that the R_A are unitary operators such that $< \Omega, R_A^m\Omega >= \delta_{m,0}$ for all integers m ($*$ is the Hilbert space adjoint and $< \cdot,\cdot >$ the inner product). We then set

$$\hat\rho(n) = c_{|n|}\hat\rho_1(n) + s_{|n|}\hat\rho_2(-n) \quad \forall n \neq 0, \tag{7}$$

and $\hat\rho(0) = \hat\rho_1(0)$ and $R = R_1$, and it is easy to see that this gives a representation of the relations in Eq. (3) provided that $c_n^2 - s_n^2 = 1$ for all $n = 1,2,\ldots$. In particular we choose

$$c_n = \left(\frac{1}{1-q^{2n}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad s_n = \left(\frac{q^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}}\right)^{1/2} \tag{8}$$

with $q = \exp(-\beta/2)$ and $\beta > 0$. One can prove that this is (essentially) the finite temperature representation for the many particle Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_n {}^{\times}_{\times}\hat\rho(-n)\hat\rho(n)/2{}^{\times}_{\times}$ constructed by the usual trick of doubling the degrees of freedom (see e.g. [20]), and the parameter β corresponds to the inverse temperature [9]. To define the normal ordering prescription for anyon operators we determine the creation- and annihilation parts of the operator $K_\varepsilon(x)$ such that $K = K^+ + K^-$, $K^-\Omega = 0$, and $(K^-)^* = K^+$, i.e.,

$$K_\varepsilon^\pm(x) = \mp\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}n}[c_n\hat\rho_1(\mp n)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mp\mathrm{i}nx} - s_n\hat\rho_2(\mp n)\,\mathrm{e}^{\pm\mathrm{i}nx}]\,\mathrm{e}^{-n\varepsilon}\,.$$

We will need the commutators of the latter operators. A straightforward computation yields

$$\left[K_\varepsilon^-(x), K_{\varepsilon'}^+(y)\right] = C_{\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}(x-y) \tag{9}$$

where $C_\varepsilon(r) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (c_n^2\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nr} + s_n^2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}nr})\,\mathrm{e}^{-n\varepsilon}/n$. Inserting Eq. (8) and expanding $1/(1-q^{2n})$ in geometric series we obtain

$$C_\varepsilon(r) = -\log[b_\varepsilon(r)\exp(\mathrm{i}r/2)]$$

with

$$b_\varepsilon(r) = -2\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon/2}\sin(\frac{r+i\varepsilon}{2})\prod_{n=1}^\infty[1-2q^{2n}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon}\cos(r)+q^{4n}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2\varepsilon}]\,. \tag{10}$$

Note that $b_\varepsilon(r)$ is essentially a regularization of the above-mentioned Jacoby theta function, $b_0(r) = const.\,\vartheta_1(r/2)$. We now can define normal ordering,

$$\overset{\times}{\times}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\lambda}K_\varepsilon(x)}\overset{\times}{\times} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\lambda}K_\varepsilon^+(x)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\lambda}K_\varepsilon^-(x)},$$

and a simple computation shows that this amounts to a multiplication with the constant $b_{2\varepsilon}(0)^{-\lambda/2}$. This completes our construction of the anyon model. One can now compute all anyon correlation functions by using the Hausdorff formula and Eq. (9), for example the function

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) &\equiv F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(x_1,\ldots,x_N;y_1,\ldots,y_N) := \\ &= \langle\Omega, \phi_\varepsilon(x_N)^*\cdots\phi_\varepsilon(x_1)^*\phi_{\varepsilon'}(y_1)\cdots\phi_{\varepsilon'}(y_N)\Omega\rangle = \\ &= \frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le N} b_{2\varepsilon}(x_k-x_j)^\lambda b_{2\varepsilon'}(y_j-y_k)^\lambda}{\prod_{j,k=1}^N b_{\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}(x_j-y_k)^\lambda} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

which will play an important role further below.

Having set the stage, we now can describe the second quantization of the eCS Hamiltonians H_N in Eq. (1) and how this leads to a remarkable identity which will be the starting point for our solution algorithm: we found a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{F}$ such that the commutator of $\mathcal{H}$ with a product of N anyon operators,

$$\Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_\varepsilon^\nu(x_1)\cdots\phi_\varepsilon^\nu(x_N)\,, \tag{12}$$

is essentially equal to $H_N\Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x})$ where H_N is the eCS Hamiltonian with a coupling constant determined by the statistics parameter as follows,

$$\gamma = 2\lambda(\lambda-1)\,. \tag{13}$$

To be more precise: this operator $\mathcal{H}$ obeys the relations

$$[\mathcal{H}, \Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x})]\Omega \simeq H_N^\varepsilon \Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x})\Omega \tag{14}$$

with $H_N^{2\varepsilon}$ as in Eq. (1) but with $V(r)$ replaced by the regularized potential $V_{2\varepsilon}(r) = -\partial^2 \log b_{2\varepsilon}(r)/\partial r^2$, and '$\simeq$' means 'equal in the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$'. We note that it is surprisingly simple to construct this operator $\mathcal{H}$ by following the arguments for the Sutherland model [12]. It has the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{3} \sum_{m,n} {}^{\times}_{\times} \hat{\rho}(m+n)\hat{\rho}(-m)\hat{\rho}(-n) {}^{\times}_{\times} +$$
$$+(1-\lambda) \sum_{n>0} n[\hat{\rho}_1(-n)\hat{\rho}_1(n) + \hat{\rho}_2(-n)\hat{\rho}_2(n)] + \dots \tag{15}$$

where the dots indicate less important terms proportional to $Q\mathcal{H}_0$, Q^3 and Q [9]. It is interesting to note that the relations in Eq. (14) can be established without using Eq. (8), i.e., the second quantization $\mathcal{H}$ obeying Eq. (14) exists for a much larger class of Hamiltonians given in Eq. (1) with $V(r) = V_0(r)$,

$$V_\varepsilon(r) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nr} + s_n^2 [\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nr} + \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}nr}] \right) \mathrm{e}^{-n\varepsilon} \,. \tag{16}$$

However, as we will see, to obtain an solution algorithm one also needs

$$< \Omega, [\mathcal{H}, \Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x})^* \Phi_{\varepsilon'}^N(\mathbf{y})]\Omega > = 0 \tag{17}$$

(note that this identity is trivial in the Sutherland case where $\mathcal{H}\Omega = 0$, but this no longer holds in general): we proved that this identity holds true if and only if

$$c_m^2 c_n^2 s_{m+n}^2 = s_m^2 s_n^2 c_{m+n}^2 \quad \forall m, n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{18}$$

which restricts us to c_n and s_n as in Eq. (8) and thus interaction potentials which are Weierstrass elliptic functions (this proof is by a straightforward computation using the explicit formula for $\mathcal{H}$ given above [9]). We now compute the vacuum expectation value of the (trivial) identity

$$[\mathcal{H}, \Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x})^* \Phi_{\varepsilon'}^N(\mathbf{y})] = -[\mathcal{H}, \Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x})]^* \Phi_{\varepsilon'}^N(\mathbf{y}) + \Phi_\varepsilon^N(\mathbf{x})^* [\mathcal{H}, \Phi_{\varepsilon'}^N(\mathbf{y})]$$

using Eq. (17). Inserting Eq. (14) twice we obtain

$$\overline{H_N^{2\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})} F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) \simeq H_N^{2\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{y}) F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) \tag{19}$$

with $F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = <\Omega,\Phi^N_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})^*\Phi^N_{\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{y})\Omega>$ the anyon correlation function defined and computed in Eq. (11) above and regularized eCS Hamiltonians acting on different variables as indicated (the bar means complex conjugation). This is our remarkable identity and a main result of this letter.

We now show how Eqs. (19) and (11) can be used to construct eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the eCS Hamiltonian. The idea is to take the Fourier transform of Eq. (19), i.e., apply to it $(2\pi)^{-N}\int \mathrm{d}^N\mathbf{y}\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{y}}$ (the integration is over $-\pi \le y_j \le \pi$, of course), and then take the limits $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \downarrow 0$. To determine the possible values for the Fourier modes P_j we observe that $b_\varepsilon(r)^\lambda$ changes by a factor $\mathrm{e}^{\mp\mathrm{i}\pi\lambda}$ under $r \to r \pm 2\pi$. Thus the function $F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ changes by a factor $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi(2N-2j+1)\lambda}$ under $y_j \to y_j + 2\pi$. The P_j need to be such that $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{y}}F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ is periodic, which enforces $P_j = n_j + (N-j+\frac{1}{2})\lambda$ with integers n_j. These are (essentially) the 'quasi-momenta' known from the Sutherland model (up to a trivial shift due to a center-of-mass motion contained in our eigenfunctions; see Eq. (33) below[1]). With that we obtain

$$H_N\hat{F}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{n})\hat{F}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}) - \gamma\sum_{j<k}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \times\Big[c_n^2\hat{F}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}+n\mathbf{E}_{jk}) + s_n^2\hat{F}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}-n\mathbf{E}_{jk})\Big] \tag{20}$$

with $(\mathbf{E}_{jk})_\ell = \delta_{j\ell} - \delta_{k\ell}$ for $\ell = 1,\ldots,N$, and

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^N P_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N \big[n_j + (N-j+\tfrac{1}{2})\lambda\big]^2\ , \tag{21}$$

where the first term on the r.h.s. in Eq. (20) comes from the derivative term in the eCS Hamiltonian and partial integration, and the second term comes from the interaction terms which we evaluated using Eq. (16). The function $\hat{F}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n})$ is the $\varepsilon,\varepsilon' \downarrow 0$-limit of the Fourier transform of $F^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$, i.e.,

$$\hat{F}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n})\,\Delta(\mathbf{x})\ \mathrm{e}^{\sum_j \mathrm{i}\lambda x_j} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j<k} b_0(x_k - x_j)^\lambda \tag{23}$$

[1]The interested reader can check that removing this center-of-mass motion amounts to changing the quasi-momenta as follows, $P_j \to n_j + \lambda(N+1-2j)/2$, and by relabeling $n_j \to n_{N+1-j}$ one obtains Sutherland's expression [2].

and the symmetric, periodic function

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'\downarrow 0} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^N\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^N}\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \frac{\prod_{j<k} \check{b}_{2\varepsilon}(y_j - y_k)^\lambda}{\prod_{j,k} \check{b}_{\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}(x_j - y_k)^\lambda} \tag{24}$$

with $\check{b}_\varepsilon(r) = (1 - \mathrm{e}^{ir-\varepsilon}) \prod_{n=1}^\infty [1 - 2q^{2n}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon}\cos(r) + q^{4n}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2\varepsilon}]$; the last factor in Eq. (22) describes an uninteresting center-of-mass motion. We defined the functions $\mathcal{P}$ as a particular regularization of singular integrals, but these integrals can be computed explicitly by expanding in plane waves [12]. Expanding also in powers on q^2 one obtains $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \mathcal{P}^\ell\, q^{2\ell}$ where the $\mathcal{P}^\ell$ are symmetric polynomials, i.e., their expansion in plane waves has only a *finite* number of non-zero terms (this number of terms diverges as ℓ goes to infinity, however).

Writing $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \sum_{j<k} \mu_{jk} \mathbf{E}_{jk}$ with integer μ_{jk} and identifying the set of all such $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ with $\mathbb{Z}^{N(N-1)/2}$ we now make the following ansatz for an eigenfunction,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} \alpha(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})\, \hat{F}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \tag{25}$$

(we suppress the common argument $\mathbf{n}$ of ψ, α, $\mathcal{E}$ in the following). Then the equation

$$H_N\psi = \mathcal{E}\psi \tag{26}$$

implies

$$[\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{n}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) - \mathcal{E}]\, \alpha(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \gamma \sum_{j<k} \sum_{n=1}^\infty n[c_n^2 \alpha(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - n\mathbf{E}_{jk}) + s_n^2 \alpha(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + n\mathbf{E}_{jk})]\,. \tag{27}$$

To solve these equations we make the ansatz

$$\alpha(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})\, q^{2\ell}\,, \quad \mathcal{E} = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \mathcal{E}_\ell\, q^{2\ell}\,. \tag{28}$$

Using $s_n^2 = \sum_{m=1}^\infty q^{2nm}$ and $c_n^2 = 1 + s_n^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{n}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) - \mathcal{E}_0]\, \alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) - \sum_{m=1}^\ell \mathcal{E}_m \alpha_{\ell-m}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \\
= \gamma \sum_{j<k} \sum_{n=1}^\infty n\alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - n\mathbf{E}_{jk}) + \gamma \sum_{j<k} \sum_{\substack{n,m>0 \\ nm\le\ell}} \\
\times n[\alpha_{\ell-nm}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - n\mathbf{E}_{jk}) + \alpha_{\ell-nm}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + n\mathbf{E}_{jk})]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Eqs. (22)–(29) constitute our algorithm to solve the eCS model. We can restrict ourselves to $(\ell, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ such that

$$\mu_{jk} \geq -\ell \quad \forall j < k \tag{30}$$

[i.e. for other $(\ell, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ we set $\alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = 0$] and determine the $\alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ and $\mathcal{E}_\ell$ from Eq. (29) recursively. It is important to note that this recursive procedure has *triangular structure*, i.e., amounts to diagonalizing triangular matrices. To see that we observe that there is a natural partial ordering, $(\ell', \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}') < (\ell, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ if

$$\ell' < \ell \text{ or } (\ell' = \ell \text{ and } \mu'_{jk} \leq \mu_{jk} \quad \forall j < k \text{ and } \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}' \neq \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}),$$

and for fixed $(\ell, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ there is only finitely many $(\ell', \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}')$ with $(\ell', \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}') < (\ell, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$. For $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\ell = 0$ we get $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{n})$, and $\alpha_0(\underline{\mathbf{0}})$ remains a free parameter. For $\ell > 0$ and $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$ we get an equation determining $\mathcal{E}_\ell$ as a sum of finitely many terms depending only on the $\alpha_{\ell'}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}')$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\ell'}$ with $(\ell', \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}') < (\ell, \underline{\mathbf{0}})$, and $\alpha_\ell(\underline{\mathbf{0}})$ remains undetermined. For non-zero $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, there are two different cases. If there is a resonance, i.e., if the factor

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{n} + \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) - \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{n}) = 2 \sum_{j<k} \left[n_j - n_k + (k-j)\lambda \right] \mu_{jk} + \\ + \sum_j \left(\sum_{k<j} \mu_{kj} - \sum_{k>j} \mu_{jk} \right)^2 \tag{31}$$

vanishes, we get a linear equation constraining the previously undetermined $\alpha_{\ell'}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}')$ for $(\ell', \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}') < (\ell, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$, and $\alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ remains undetermined. In the generic case, i.e. if there is no resonance, $\alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ is determined as a finite sum of terms depending only on $\alpha_{\ell'}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}')$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\ell'}$ for $(\ell', \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}') < (\ell, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$. We thus can determine all $\alpha_\ell(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ recursively, and at each order ℓ there remains only one of them undetermined [generically this will be $\alpha_\ell(\underline{\mathbf{0}})$]. We note that these free parameters correspond to the freedom of choosing different normalizations of the eigenfunctions, i.e., changing them amounts to multiplying the eigenfunction $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ by a normalization constant which is a power series in q^2. Moreover, it is known that in the Sutherland case one only needs to consider N-tuples $\mathbf{n}$ such that

$$n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_N \geq 0 \tag{32}$$

since these already provide a complete set of eigenfunctions [12, 8], and we expect this is true also for $q \neq 0$.

It is clear that resonances make our algorithm somewhat more involved, and it is therefore interesting to mention some cases where resonances can be ruled out. For example, there is never a resonance for $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} > \underline{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ as in Eq. (32) [12], and therefore resonances do not occur in the Sutherland case $q = 0$. Moreover, for $N = 2$, it is easy to see that resonances can only occur if λ is integer. However, for $N > 2$, there are infinitely many resonances which are independent of λ, e.g. for $N = 3$ and $\mathbf{n}$ such that $n_1 - 2n_2 + n_3 = 3\nu$ with integer ν, one has a resonances for all $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ such that $\mu_{13} = -\nu - \mu_{12}$ and $\mu_{23} = 2\nu + \mu_{12}$ (μ_{12} arbitrary integer), and for rational values of λ, additional 'coincidental' resonances (i.e., they depend on λ) are to be expected. Thus, for $N = 3$, resonances can be ruled out for irrational λ and $\mathbf{n}$ such that $(n_1 - 2n_2 + n_3)/3$ is non-integer. Obviously, a more general analysis of the occurrence and implications of resonances would be welcome.

The eigenfunctions which we get are of the form

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = J_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}|q)\,\Delta(\mathbf{x})\;\mathrm{e}^{\sum_j \mathrm{i}N\lambda x_j} \tag{33}$$

where we defined $J_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}|q) \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} J^{\ell}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\, q^{2\ell}$ with

$$J^{\ell}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} \alpha_{\ell-\ell'}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})\, \mathcal{P}^{\ell'}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{n} + \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})\,.$$

It is interesting to note that the latter sums are always finite, i.e., one can prove highest weight relations for the functions $\mathcal{P}^{\ell}$ which imply that there are only finitely many $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ obeying Eq. (30) and such that $\mathcal{P}^{\ell'}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{n} + \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$, $0 \leq \ell' \leq \ell$, are different from zero [10]. Moreover, as already mentioned, the $J_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}|q)$ are uniquely determined up to normalization. In the case $q = 0$ our algorithm reduces to the one in Ref. [12], and the results there imply that the $J_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}|q = 0) = J^0_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{n}$ obeying the condition in Eq. (32), are proportional to the Jack polynomials [8]. It is therefore natural to regard the $J_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}|q)$ as elliptic generalization of the Jack polynomials.

Recently it was shown that the formal power series expansion of the eigenfunctions of the eCS model in q^2 converge in the L^2-norm sense [21]. This result suggests that the formal power series which we obtained actually converge, and in particular, that our elliptic generalizations of the Jack polynomials are well-defined symmetric functions. For $q = 0$ it is known that they define a complete orthogonal set of eigenfunctions [8], and we conjecture the same is true also for finite q. Obviously, a more detailed investigation of these functions would be welcome.

We conclude with a two remarks. Firstly, we note that the only result from our anyons construction which we actually needed to get our solution algorithm is the remarkable identity in Eq. (19). For $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' = 0$ the latter reduces to the following identity of elliptic functions,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2}\Big)F(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j<k} 2\lambda(\lambda-1)\Big[\wp(x_j-x_k)-\wp(y_j-y_k)\Big]F(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) \quad (34)$$

where

$$F(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\vartheta_1(\frac{1}{2}[x_k-x_j])^\lambda\vartheta_1(\frac{1}{2}[y_j-y_k])^\lambda}{\prod_{j,k=1}^{N}\vartheta_1(\frac{1}{2}[x_j-y_k])^\lambda}\,. \quad (35)$$

We suggest that *it is this remarkable identity which distinguishes the quantum Calogero-Moser-Sutherland models* [rather than the existence of a product ground state function of the form as in Eq. (23)] since it can be used to obtain an algorithm to solve the model and holds for the elliptic case as well. After this work was completed we found an elementary, direct proof of this based on the following identity of Weierstrass elliptic functions,

$$[\zeta(x)+\zeta(y)+\zeta(z)]^2 = \wp(x)+\wp(y)+\wp(z) \quad \text{if } x+y+z=0$$

(whose proof is given as an exercise on p. 446 in Ref. [13]) [10]. Still, the generalization which we got from the anyons contains important additional information: the ε-regularization is needed to fix a unique self-adjoint extension of the differential operator H_N in Eq. (1). Finally, we note that it would be interesting to find an argument to obtain from the identity in Eq. (19) an algorithm providing *finite* series representations of the eigenfunctions, e.g., by finding a way to solve Eq. (27) non-perturbatively (i.e., without expanding in q^2).

Acknowledgements:

I thank A. Carey and A. Polychronakos for their interest and helpful discussions and K. Takemura for pointing out to me Ref. [21]. A helpful remark of K. Fredenhagen is acknowledged. This work was supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR).

References

[1] Calogero, F., *J. Math. Phys.* **10** (1969) 2191 and 2197; and **12** (1971) 419.

[2] Sutherland, B., *Phys. Rev.* **A4** (1971) 2019 and **A5** (1972) 1372.

[3] Moser, J., *Adv. Math.* **16** (1975) 197; Calogero, F., *Lett. Nuovo. Cim.* **13** (1975) 411.

[4] For review see Olshanetsky, M.A. and Perelomov, A.M., *Phys. Rept.* **71** (1981) 313 and **94** (1983) 313.

[5] See e.g. Polychronakos, A.P.: "Generalized statistics in one dimension," `hep-th/9902157`.

[6] See e.g. Jain, S., *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A11** (1996) 1201; Guhr, T., Muller-Groeling, A., and Weidenmuller, H.A., *Phys. Rept.* **299** (1998) 189.

[7] Forrester, P.J., *Nucl. Phys.* **B388** (1992) 671 and *J. Stat. Phys.* **72** (1993) 39.

[8] Macdonald, I.G.: *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1979; Stanley, R.P., *Adv. in Math.* **77** (1989) 76.

[9] Langmann, E.: 'Second quantization of the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland model', `math-ph/010205`.

[10] Langmann, E.: 'An algorithm to solve the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland model', (to appear on `math-ph`).

[11] Awata, H., Matsuo, Y., Odake, S., Shiraishi, J., *Phys. Lett.* **B347** (1995) 49 and *Nucl. Phys.* **B449** (1995) 347; Iso, S, *Nucl. Phys.* **B443** [FS] (1995) 581; Marotta, V., and Sciarrino, A., *Nucl. Phys.* **B476** (1996) 351.

[12] Carey, A.L., and Langmann, E., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **201** (1999) 1.

[13] Whitaker, E.T., and Watson, G.N.: *Course of modern analysis, 4th edition*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1958.

[14] Etingof, P.I., and Kirillov, A.A., *Duke Math. J.* **74** (1994) 585; Ruijsenaars, S. N. M., *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999) 1595.

[15] Felder, G., and Varchenko, A.: "Integral representation of solutions of the elliptic Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equations," *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **5** (1995) 221 `[hep-th/9502165]` and "Three formulas for eigenfunctions of integrable Schroedinger operators," `hep-th/9511120`.

[16] see e.g. Azuma, H., and Iso, S., *Phys. Lett.* **B331** (1994) 107; Iso, S., and Rey, S.J., *Phys. Lett.* **B352** (1995) 111; Yu, Y., Zheng, W., and Zhu, Z., *Phys. Rev.* **B56** (1997) 13279.

[17] Wen, X.G., *Phys. Rev.* **B41** (1990) 12838 and *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 2206.

[18] Gradshteyn, I.S., and Ryzhik, I.M.: *Table of integrals, series, and products, 5th edition*, Academic Press, Boston, 1994.

[19] Reed, M., and Simon, B.: *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975, p. 177.

[20] Carey, A.L., and Hannabuss, K.C., *J. Func. Anal.* **75** (1987) 128.

[21] Takemura, K, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **53** (2000) 181; Komori, Y., and Takemura, K.: "The perturbation of the quantum Calogero-Moser-Sutherland system and related results," `math.qa/0009244`.